Overexpression of Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 Importin Proteins in Cancer Derives from Deregulated E2F Activity
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Abstract

The Karyopherin superfamily comprises nuclear transport proteins, involved in the shuttling of certain cargo proteins into and out of the nucleus. Karyopherin β1 (Kpnβ1) and Karyopherin α2 (Kpnα2) are importin proteins, which work in concert to transport their cargo into the nucleus. We previously identified increased expression of Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 in cervical tumours compared to normal epithelium and in transformed cells compared to their normal counterparts. This study therefore aimed to identify the transcription regulatory mechanisms associated with high Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 levels in cancer cells. Kpnβ1 (+2013 to +100) and Kpnα2 (+1900 to +69) promoter fragments were separately cloned into the reporter vector, pGL3-basic, and luciferase assays revealed both as significantly more active in cancer and transformed cells compared to normal. A series of deletion constructs identified the −637 to −271 Kpnβ1 and −180 to −24 Kpnα2 promoter regions as responsible for the differential promoter activity, and a number of highly conserved E2F binding sites were identified within these regions. Mutation analysis confirmed the requirement of E2F sites for promoter activity, and ChIP analysis confirmed E2F2/Dp1 binding to the Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoters in vivo. Dp1 inhibition resulted in decreased levels of the respective proteins, confirming the role of E2F in the overexpression of Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 proteins in cancer. E2F activity is known to be deregulated in cervical cancer cells due to the inhibition of its repressor, Rb, by HPV E7. The inhibition of E7 using siRNA resulted in decreased Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoter activities, as did the overexpression of Rb. In conclusion, this study is a first to show that elevated Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 expression in cancer cells correlates with altered transcriptional regulation associated with deregulated E2F/Rb activities.

Introduction

Karyopherin proteins are soluble nuclear transport receptors that function in transporting cargo proteins and certain RNAs into and out of the cell nucleus, via the nuclear pore complex (NPC) [1]. Karyopherins may act as importins of exportins, depending of their direction of transport. Karyopherin beta 1 (Kpnβ1, also known as Importin β or p97) is an importin protein that plays a key role in the nuclear import process. Nuclear import via Kpnβ1 can occur either by Kpnβ1 acting as an autonomous nuclear transport receptor, or through its association with an adaptor protein, like Karyopherin alpha (Kpnα, also known as Importin α), in which case the import process is known as classical nuclear import [2]. In the classical nuclear import pathway, the nuclear localisation sequence (NLS) present in the cargo is recognised and bound by the adaptor protein, Kpnα, which then forms a trimer complex with Kpnβ1. The cargo:Kpnα:Kpnβ1 complex is transported across the nuclear pore complex into the nucleus, where upon it is dissociated by the binding of RanGTP. There are six Kpnα isoforms (Kpnα1-6), which have been determined to have preferential binding to specific substrates [3]. The functioning of all six isoforms thus broadens the substrate range carried across the NPC.

We have recently shown that the expression of Kpnβ1 and the Kpnα protein, Kpnα2, is elevated in cervical cancer and transformed cells at both the mRNA and protein levels [4]. We found too that inhibition of Kpnβ1 expression in cervical cancer cells leads to cell death via apoptosis, suggesting that cervical cancer cells become functionally dependent on Kpnβ1 overexpression, highlighting the importance of Kpnβ1 upregulation in maintaining cancer biology. In our study Kpnα2 inhibition had no effect on cervical cancer cell viability [4]; it is possible that its inhibition resulted in functional compensation by other Kpnα family members. Noetzel et al. [5] showed that breast cancer cells underwent apoptotic cell death when Kpnα2 was inhibited [5], suggesting that in some cell lines it might be functionally redundant, while in others it is functionally relevant. In addition, many recent studies have identified high Kpnα2 in tumour tissue, suggesting that the upregulation of Kpnα2 associates with cancer development. Such cancers include melanoma [6], breast cancer [7,8], oesophageal cancer [9], ovarian cancer [10], non-small cell lung cancer [11], prostate cancer [12], bladder cancer [13] and liver cancer [14]. Interestingly, Wang et al. [11] showed that Kpnα2 is secreted into the serum, suggesting it has potential clinical usefulness as a cancer biomarker. In addition, several studies have reported that high Kpnα2 expression associates with
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poor patient survival [6–10;12;13], suggesting a potential prognostic role for Kpn\(\beta2\).

While the overexpression of Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) in cancer cells appear to be significant events, little is known regarding their transcriptional regulation and the factors that control their expression and lead to their upregulation in cancer cells. In this study, therefore, we cloned and analysed the Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters, and identified an important role for the transcription factor, E2F, in the induction of Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) expression in cancer cells.

**Results**

**Increased Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) protein expression in transformed and cancer cells correlates with increased promoter activity**

Based on our previous findings showing elevated Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) expression in cervical cancer cells and transformed cells compared to normal, we investigated Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) protein expression in a representative normal, transformed and cervical cancer cell line. These included the normal fibroblast cell line, WI38, the SV40-transformed fibroblast cell line, SVWI38, and the cervical cancer cell line, CaSki. Western Blot analysis revealed elevated Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) expression in the transformed and cancer cells, compared to the normal WI38 cells (Fig. 1A). To determine the transcriptional regulatory mechanisms that associate with elevated Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) expression in cancer and transformed cells, an approximate 2 Kb region upstream of the transcription start site of the Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) genes, together with approximately 100 bp of their 5' untranslated regions (downstream from the transcription start site), were separately cloned into pGL3-Basic for promoter analysis. The −2015 to +100 Kpn\(\beta1\) and −1990 to +69 Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter constructs both showed significantly higher activity in the transformed and cancer cells compared to the normal WI38 cells (Fig. 1B and C).

**Differential Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter activities in normal, transformed and cancer cells derive from the −637 to −271 and −180 to −24 regions of the Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters, respectively**

In order to determine the regions responsible for the differential activity of Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters in normal and transformed/cancer cells, a series of deletion constructs were generated and assayed for activity in WI38, SVWI38 and CaSki cells. All deletion constructs of the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter displayed significantly more activity in SVWI38 cells compared to WI38 cells (Fig. 2A). Notably in SVWI38 cells, sequential deletion of the −2013 to −637 region did not alter promoter activity, however, deletion of the −637 to −271 region significantly diminished Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter activity (by approximately five-fold) (Fig. 2A). In WI38 cells, deletion of this region had little effect on promoter activity. In CaSki cells similar observations were made to those in SVWI38 cells, where deletion of the region from −637 to −271 significantly altered Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter activity (Fig. 2B). These results suggest that important functional elements, necessary for high Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter activity in transformed and cervical cancer cells, are likely to reside in the −637 to −271 region of the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter. With regards to Kpn\(\alpha2\), deletion of the region from 1900 to −180 had little effect on Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter activity in any of the cell lines (Fig. 2C, D), suggesting that the region upstream of −180 in the Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter does not confer transcriptional activity. However, deletion of the region from −180 to −24 resulted in significantly diminished promoter activity, almost to the level of the empty vector, with the decrease in activity being more pronounced in SVWI38 and CaSki cells, compared to WI38 (Fig. 2C, D). This suggests that important functional elements necessary for high basal Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter activity in transformed and cervical cancer cells reside in the −180 to −24 region of the promoter.

**The Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters contain functional E2F sites**

A bioinformatic analysis of the regions −637 to −271 of the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter and −180 to −24 of the Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter (using MatInspector and Conreal software programmes) identified a number of putative binding sites for E2F. Deregulated E2F activity is known to play a key role in cancer development; hence the functionality of these putative E2F sites was investigated. Five putative E2F binding sites were identified in the Kpn\(\beta1\) (−637 to −271) promoter region, and using site-directed mutagenesis (and mutating at least three bases within the consensus binding sites), mutant constructs were generated. Three E2F sites were found to be functional, as their mutation resulted in significantly decreased Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter activity (Fig. 3A). This included the putative sites labelled as E2F(a), E2F(b) and E2F(c). Interestingly, mutation of these three sites simultaneously did not confer any further decrease in promoter activity, compared to mutation of the E2F sites individually, suggesting that they may work in concert to regulate Kpn\(\beta1\) gene expression (Fig. 3A). Of note, too, was the finding that mutation of the E2F sites resulted in promoter activity comparable to that of the −271 to +100 construct, suggesting that it is the E2F sites that are responsible for the increase in promoter activity in the region from −271 to −637. Mutation of the three E2F sites in the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter had a more pronounced effect on promoter activity in SVWI38 and CaSki cells compared to that in WI38 cells. These findings suggest that there is increased reliance on the E2F sites in transformed and cancer cells, compared to normal (Fig. 3B).

Bioinformatic analysis of the Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter, on the other hand, revealed the presence of only one putative E2F site in the −180 to −24 region. Interestingly, unlike the E2F sites in the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter, mutation of this single E2F site completely abolished Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter activity (Fig. 3C). Mutation of the E2F site in SVWI38 and CaSki cells resulted in 84- and 57-fold repression of promoter activity, respectively, while its mutation in WI38 cells resulted in only 4-fold repression of the promoter (Fig. 3D).

Together, these results suggest that the Kpn\(\beta1\) promoter contains E2F sites that act to enhance promoter activity, while the Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoter contains an E2F site that is involved in basal promoter activation. In addition, E2F activation of both promoters is more pronounced in transformed and cancer cells, compared to normal.

**E2F/Dp1 heterodimers bind and activate the Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters in vivo**

E2F functions as a heterodimeric transcription factor composed of two subunits: an E2F family member and a Dp family member. Although there are multiple E2F family members that are thought to bind the same consensus sequence (5'-TTTSSCGS-3'; S refers to G or T), E2F1, E2F2 and E2F3 have been shown to contain strong oncogenic capacity [15], hence binding of E2F to the Kpn\(\beta1\) and Kpn\(\alpha2\) promoters was investigated using an antibody against E2F2 that cross-reacts with E2F1, E2F2 and E2F3. The Dp protein family comprises proteins Dp1-3, with Dp1 having been previously linked to cancer. To assess E2F2/Dp1 binding in
ChIP assays were performed using chromatin prepared from SVWI38 and CaSki cells. DNA was immunoprecipitated using α-E2F2 or α-Dp1 antibodies and used in a PCR with primers spanning the E2F sites in the Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoters (Fig. 4A, B). Positive amplification in both SVWI38 and CaSki cell lines confirmed an association between E2F2/Dp1 and the E2F sites in both the Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoters (Fig. 4A, B).

Further, to determine whether the binding of E2F/Dp1 to the Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoters was responsible for the elevated levels of both Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 proteins in vivo, E2F activity was inhibited by silencing the expression of Dp1, without which E2F can no longer function. Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 protein levels were measured by Western Blot analysis after Dp1 knock-down using siRNA, and were found to decrease after Dp1 inhibition (Fig. 4C).

Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoter activities were similarly repressed upon Dp1 inhibition (Fig. 4D, E).

**HPV E7 regulates Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoter activities in cervical cancer cells via the repression of Rb**

In cervical cancer cells the HPV E7 protein is known to bind the retinoblastoma (Rb) protein, leading to its phosphorylation and ultimately degradation. It is for this reason that E2F target genes are often overexpressed in cervical cancer, as E2F is no longer repressed by Rb and is thus free to activate its target genes. Hence, we hypothesised that the inhibition of HPV E7 in cervical cancer cells would lead to restored Rb function, causing reduced E2F activity, and thus diminished Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoter activities. To test this hypothesis, CaSki cells were transfected...
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with HPV16 E7 siRNA (Fig. 5A), and after confirming the restoration of Rb (indicated in Fig. 5B by a decrease in levels of phosphorylated Rb and an associated increased in unphosphorylated Rb), Kpnβ1 (−637 to +100) and Kpnβ2 (−180 to +69) promoter activities were measured. Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoter activities were significantly reduced in E7 knock-down cells, compared to control cells, albeit to different extents (Fig. 5C and D). To confirm that the decreased Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoter activities were via the restoration of Rb function, Rb was overexpressed in CaSki cervical cancer cells and Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoter activities measured. Results showed that Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoter activities were both significantly reduced on overexpression of Rb (Fig. 5E and F). Together, these findings suggest that the high Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 expression levels in cervical cancer cells is due in part to E7-mediated inhibition of Rb, and the consequent increased activation of the Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoters by E2F.

Discussion

In this study we cloned and analysed the Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoters with an aim to identify potential regulatory mechanisms that could drive their high expression in cancer cells. This study is novel in that it is the first to our knowledge that describes E2F as an important transcriptional regulator of Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 expression in cancer cells. E2F plays a role in a diverse range of cellular processes and has been reported to regulate the expression of genes involved in differentiation, development, proliferation and apoptosis [16]. It was previously reported that E2F plays a role in the regulation of the RanBPI gene [17], a major partner of the Ran GTPase, and integral to the nuclear transport process, and our study, describing E2F-regulation of Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 nuclear importer proteins, further implicates E2F in the regulation of genes involved in nuclear transport.

E2F activity is controlled by the Rb pathway, whereby under normal circumstances Rb binding to E2F represses E2F activity, until the G1/S phase of the cell cycle, whenupon Rb becomes phosphorylated by cdk4/cyclin D complexes, and E2F is released to activate its target genes. However, E2F activity is often deregulated in cancer, as a result of the frequent disruption of the Rb pathway [18–21]. Rb mutations have been observed in a wide spectrum of tumours, including osteosarcomas, small cell lung carcinomas, breast carcinomas, and others. Moreover, in many cancers the proliferation of cdk inhibitors is, or its function is, lost. This leads to increased cdk4/cyclin D activity, resulting in increased Rb phosphorylation and thus E2F accumulation. Finally, deregulated expression and/or amplification of cyclin D and cdk4 genes have also been observed in many cancers. This leads to an increased level of cdk4/cyclin D activity and thus similarly deregulation of the E2F pathway [21]. In cervical cancer, the inactivation of the E2F repressor, Rb, by HPV E7, results in increased E2F activity. As a result of frequent disruption of the pathway that regulates E2F function, E2F target genes are often overexpressed in cancer cells due to enhanced E2F transactivation [22].

We show that overexpression of Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 in cancer is due to, in part, increased activation of their promoters by E2F. A murine study by Ishida et al. [23] identified a potential role for E2F in the regulation of Kpnβ2 gene expression during the cell cycle. Our study extends this finding and shows a role for E2F in the regulation of human Kpnβ2, via a specific E2F site located at position −34 to −27 of the Kpnβ2 promoter. This E2F site appears essential for both basal and activated Kpnβ2 gene expression. In addition, we identified three functional E2F sites in the Kpnβ1 promoter that appear to act in concert to regulate Kpnβ1 expression. It has been reported that multiple E2F sites can exist in the promoter region of a gene, and that these sites often co-operate to regulate gene expression [24].

The finding that Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 genes are regulated by E2F suggests that they are regulated in a cell cycle-dependent manner. It has previously been reported that the nuclear import of karyopherin α/β-substrates is more efficient at certain stages of the cell cycle [25], in agreement with our data showing E2F-regulation of Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2. Overall, our findings suggest that the deregulated activity of E2F in cancer cells causes increased activation of the Kpnβ1 and Kpnβ2 promoters, leading to elevated levels of these proteins, and ultimately impacting the cancer phenotype.

Materials and Methods

Cell culture

WI38 normal lung fibroblasts, SVW138 transformed WI38 fibroblasts, and CaSki cervical cancer cells were obtained from the American Type Culture Collection (ATCC) (Rockville, MD, USA). Cells were maintained in Dulbecco’s Modified Eagle’s Medium (DMEM) supplemented with penicillin (100 U/ml), streptomycin (100 μg/ml) and 10% Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS) (Gibco, Paisley, Scotland). All cells were cultured at 37 °C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO2.

Protein harvest and Western Blot analysis

Cells in culture were grown to 80% confluence and lysed on ice in RIPA buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl, 1% deoxycholate, 0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 1X Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland)). Western Blot analyses were performed using the rabbit anti-Kpnβ1 (H-9309, Cell Signaling), and rabbit anti-Dp1 (K-20) (sc-610), goat anti-Kpnβ2 (C-20) (sc-6917), rabbit anti-Dp1 (K-20) (sc-610), mouse anti-HPV16 E7 (ED17) (sc-6901), mouse anti-Rb (H11) (#9309, Cell Signaling), and rabbit anti-β-tubulin (H-255) (sc-9104, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) antibodies.

PCR amplification of the Kpnβ1 (−2013 to +100) promoter

Primers were designed that would amplify the region from −2013 to +100 of the Kpnβ1 gene (GenBank Accession Number: NC_000017). Kpnβ1F 5’ AGGCTTAGCCAGCTCACATCGAAATTACCC 3’ and Kpnβ1R 5’ AAGAAGTTCTTGGGGGGCAAGCTCATAGA 3’ (NheI site is underlined and HindIII site is in bold), and −1992 to +69 of the Kpnβ2 gene (GenBank Accession Number: NC_000017). Kpnβ2F 5’ AAGTGCGGGGGACAAAAGAAGACCTCAACCTTG 3’ and
Kpn\textsubscript{b}R 5' AGAAGCTTGGGAATCAGCGGCTGTAG 3' (SmaI site is italicised and Hind\textsubscript{III} site is in bold). PCR was performed using 100 ng normal blood DNA as template, and the high fidelity Expand Plus DNA Polymerase (Roche). 5% DMSO was included in the PCR for Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 to improve specificity. Promoter PCR products were subcloned into the vector pGEM-T Easy (Promega) and the Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 (−637 to +100) fragment excised with NheI and Hind\textsubscript{III} restriction enzymes, and the Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 (−2190 to +69) fragment excised with SmaI and Hind\textsubscript{III} restriction enzymes, for subcloning into the luciferase reporter plasmid, pGL3 Basic vector (Promega). There were difficulties with the SmaI digest, hence Sac\textsubscript{I} was used instead, as a Sac\textsubscript{I} site was present at position −2190 of the Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 promoter. Subcloning into pGL3 Basic placed the Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 (−2013 to +100) and Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 (−1990 to +69) promoter fragments upstream of the promoter-less luciferase gene for promoter activity analysis. Constructs were verified by sequencing using the UCT Human Genetics Sequencing Unit.

Figure 3. Functional E2F sites in the Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 (−637 to −271) and Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 (−180 to −24) promoter regions contribute to high promoter activity in transformed and cancer cells. A. Mutation of the five putative E2F sites in the Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 (−637 to +100) promoter was carried out by site-directed mutagenesis. Mutation of the three distal E2F sites lead to a significant decrease in Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 (−637 to +100) promoter activity, as indicated by luciferase assays. Mutation of the three functional E2F sites simultaneously did not lead to any further decrease in promoter activity. B. Mutation of the three E2F sites in SWI38 and CaSki cells had a more profound impact on Kpn\textsubscript{b}1 promoter activity compared to that in WI38 cells (numbers indicate fold repression). C. Mutation of the single E2F site in the Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 promoter completely abolished promoter activity. D. Mutation of the E2F site in SWI38 and CaSki cells had a more profound impact on Kpn\textsubscript{a}2 promoter activity compared to that in WI38 cells. Experiments are shown as the mean ± SE of experiments in quadruplicate performed at least two times (* p<0.05).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0027723.g003
Generation of Kpnβ1 and Kpnα2 promoter deletion constructs

Promoter deletion constructs for Kpnβ1 were generated using either restriction sites common to both the cloned promoter fragment and the pGL3-Basic multiple cloning site (KpnI for the −637 to +100 construct; SacI for the −271 to +100 construct), or gene-specific forward primers (5′-AGGCTAGCCGCGCTAG-GAGAGCTTGA 3′ for the −861 to +100 construct; 5′ AGGCTAGCCGCGCTAGCCAGTGTAG 3′ for the −108 to +100 construct; NheI restriction site is underlined) and the Kpnβ1 R primer. The −180 to +69 deletion construct for Kpnα2 was generated using NruI and SacI restriction enzymes, which released the −1900 to −180 fragment, while the −24 to +69 deletion construct was generated using Swal and SacI restriction enzymes, which released the −1900 to −24 fragment.

Luciferase assays

100 ng of each promoter construct was transfected into 30 000 CaSki cervical cancer cells/well of a 24-well plate, using 0.3 µl Transfectin (Bio-Rad). To normalise for transfection efficiency the cells were co-transfected with 10 ng of the pRL-TK plasmid (that encodes Renilla luciferase). Total cell lysates were prepared from cells...
24 hr post-transfection using 1 X Passive Lysis Buffer (Promega) and firefly luciferase activity was assayed using the Dual Luciferase Kit (Promega). Luminescence was monitored using the Glomax 96 microplate luminometer (Promega). Activity was normalised to the Renilla luciferase activity from pRL-TK in the same extract.

**Site-directed mutagenesis of putative E2F sites**

Mutations in the E2F binding sites of the Kpn1 promoter were prepared by site-directed mutagenesis, using mutagenic primers: E2Fa: F: 5’ CGCTCAGGCCGGCCTAATCTCGCGCAACAC 3’; E2Fa: R: 5’ GTTCGGGTCGCGCGCTAGCGC 3’; E2Gb: F: 5’ GAACCCAGCTCGCCCTTCCGGTC 3’; E2Gb: R: 5’ GGGCCAGCGAGCCTGCGTCCGGG 3’; E2Fc: F: 5’ GCCCGATGGTGGCAATTCGACCTCG-CCGCCCTCC 3’; E2Fc: R: 5’ GGAAGGGGAGGTGCCGGCGGAGGCGGAGCTGGGTTC 3’. PCR was performed using the following conditions: 95°C for 30 seconds, followed by 18 cycles of 95°C for 30 seconds, 61°C for 1 minute, and 72°C for 1 minute.
6 minutes, followed by a final extension step of 72°C for 20 minutes. Following PCR amplification, 10 U DpnI (Promega) was added and digestion carried out at 37°C for 90 minutes, before transformation into JM109 highly competent cells (Promega). Restriction digestion analysis was used to identify clones carrying the mutation.

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChiP) assay

Cells were grown to approximately 90% confluency and protein-DNA complexes cross-linked with 1% formaldehyde for 10 minutes, followed by the addition of 0.125 M Glycine, pH 2.5. Cells were harvested by lysis buffer [1% SDS, 5 mM EDTA, 50 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.1, 1X Complete Protease Inhibitor (Roche)], and sonicated to lengths of between 400 and 1000 bp. Cell lysates were diluted in dilution buffer (1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 150 mM NaCl, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.1, 1X Complete Protease Inhibitor) and then precluded with protein-A agarose beads (Merck, NJ, USA) for 2 hr. Beads had been previously blocked in 100 μg/ml salmon sperm DNA and 5% BSA. Chromatin was incubated with 2 μg antibody (α-E2F 2 (C-20 X, sc-633 X, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); α-DP 1 (K-20, sc-610, Santa Cruz Biotechnology); or no antibody negative control) at 4°C overnight. Protein-A agarose beads were added for a further 2 hr at 4°C, and immunocomplexes bound by the beads recovered by centrifugation and washed twice sequentially in TSE I (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.1, 150 mM NaCl), TSE II (0.1% SDS, 1% Triton X-100, 2 mM EDTA, 20 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.1, 500 mM NaCl), Buffer III (0.25 M LiCl, 1% NP-40, 1% Sodium Deoxycholate, 1 mM EDTA, 10 mM Tris-Cl, pH 8.1) and TE, pH 7.4. Bound material was eluted using elution buffer (1% SDS, 0.1 M NaHCO3) at room temperature for 10 minutes, and the input and eluted samples heated at 65°C overnight to reverse the formaldehyde cross-links. DNA was purified and used for real-time PCR, using primers designed to span the E2F binding sites (Kpn 1 F: 5’ GCCATTAAAGCAGCTTCCTG 3’; Kpn 1 R: 5’ CGGCAACCTTAGGAGC 3’; Kpn 2 F: 5’ CCCCAAGCCC-TATGACT 3’; Kpn 2 R: 5’ GGGAATGGCGGCGTAGTAG 3’). These primers amplified a 199 bp and 204 bp product, respectively.

siRNA transfection

For the effect of Dp1 siRNA on Kpn 1 and Kpn 2 protein levels, 120 000 CaSkI cells were plated in 35 mm dishes and transfected with 20 nM control siRNA-A (sc-37007, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or Dp1 siRNA (sc-37813) for 72 hours, after which protein was harvested using RIPA buffer and Western Blot analysis performed.

For the effect of HPV E7 siRNA on Kpn 1 and Kpn 2 promoter activities, cells were transfected with 20 nM HPV16 E7 siRNA. E7 siRNA was designed as described by Tang et al., 2006 [26]. RNA oligos were synthesized by Eliisa Biotech GmbH (Germany) and annealed in a reaction containing 30 μl each RNA oligo (50 μM) and 15 μl annealing buffer (100 mM Potassium acetate, 20 mM Hepes, pH 7.4, 2 mM Magnesium acetate) at 90°C for 1 minute, followed by 37°C for 1 hour, to give a final siRNA concentration of 20 μM. 24 hours after siRNA transfection cells were trypanospersed and replated in 24-well plates, at 40 000 cells/well. 24 hours later, cells were co-transfected with 50 ng Kpn 1 (−637/+100) or Kpn 2 (−180/+69) promoter constructs and 5 ng pRL-TK plasmid. Luciferase activity was measured 72 hours after siRNA transfection and expressed relative to Renilla luciferase in the same extract.

Rb overexpression

To assay for the effect of Rb overexpression on Kpn 1 and Kpn 2 promoter activities, cells were co-transfected with 100 ng pCMV or RbCMV/Rb (Addgene plasmid 1763, provided by Bob Weinberg), 50 ng promoter construct and 5 ng Renilla, using 0.45 μl Transfext per well. Cells were incubated for 48 hours after transfection, and luciferase assays performed.

Statistical analysis

Experiments were performed in triplicate or quadruplicate and are represented as the mean ± standard error of the mean (SEM). For group comparisons the Student’s t-test was used, where two-tailed paired tests were used and a p-value of less than 0.05 considered statistically significant.
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